

LIBRARY FILE COPY

Bellcore Practice BR 007-550-309 Issue 2, April 1986

MINICOMPUTER OPERATIONS GROUP (MOG) OPERATIONAL REVIEW PROCEDURES

Prepared by the Information Management Services Division, Bell Communications Research, Inc., April 1986.

Copyright $^{\mathbb{C}}$ 1986 Bell Communications Research, Inc. All rights reserved.

CONTENTS

1.	GENERAL	. 1
2.	INTRODUCTION	. 1
	2.01 Principal Features of the Review	. 1
	2.02 Application and Use of Results	. 1
	2.03 Purpose of Review	. 2
	2.04 Background	. 2
	2.05 Sensitive Areas	. 2
	2.06 References	. 3
3.	. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS	. 3
	3.01 Evaluation	. 3
	3.02 Post-Evaluation Review Meeting	. 3
4.	OUTLINE OF EVALUATION	. 4
5 .	OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST	. ;
LI	LIST OF FIGURES	
Fig	Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 1 of 34)	. (

1. GENERAL

This practice provides procedures to conduct an operational review and overall performance evaluation of the Minicomputer Operations Group (MOG) and/or an individual site operations group at clustered or nonclustered minicomputer site locations.

This practice is being reissued to reflect changes due to divestiture.

This practice is a guideline and is one in a series of practices to be used to conduct operational reviews of the minicomputer operations and maintenance functions. References to specific vendors are not intended to be an endorsement. They are cited only for illustrative purposes.

2. INTRODUCTION

This practice is divided into the following five sections.

- Section 1. General
- Section 2. Introduction
- Section 3. General Instructions
- Section 4. Outline of Evaluation
- Section 5. Operational Review Checklist

2.01 Principal Features of the Review

Section 3 explains the methods employed in the review and post-review procedures.

Section 4 contains a basic outline of the areas covered in the review checklist, along with remarks on the key points in each area.

Section 5 contains an exhibit of the Operational Review Checklist, including the Summary Statement and the Problems and Recommendations Log.

This review is intended to evaluate a full MOG application and/or an individual site operations group at clustered and nonclustered site locations. It is not intended to evaluate Minicomputer Maintenance Groups (MMGs) or vendor maintenance of minicomputer systems.

The checklist items listed in this practice are intended to indicate what is to be reviewed rather than to limit the scope of the review. Additional items may be considered in the review even though they are not specifically covered in the printed questions. These additional items may be used in later evaluations for company-wide benefit. Furthermore, if the reviewer discovers a unique method of handling some particular problem or procedure, the reviewer may (and should) ask additional questions to bring out details for possible use of the idea in other minicomputer site locations.

2.02 Application and Use of Results

Review results may be used by appropriate levels of management to identify the following:

- Effectiveness of the minicomputer operations effort as administered by the MOG or individual site operations group.
- Performance and efficiency of the MOG or individual site operations group
- Force efficiency and administration

• Need for policy changes.

The overall view gained in this relatively short study should permit one of the following decisions to be made:

- (a) No specific action is needed at this time.
- (b) Certain activities are indicated and action should be taken without further evaluation.
- (c) Further study of the situation is required to determine the extent of problems indicated by the initial survey and the total corrective program necessary.

2.03 Purpose of Review

The MOG operational review is designed to yield an overall view of the performance and effectiveness of the MOG and/or site operations group. The objective of this review is to identify problem areas and provide a vehicle for administering corrective action rather than for rating performance. It also serves as an excellent tool for self-review to indicate weak spots.

2.04 Background

A point system is not used in this review; it provides an overall look at the MOG and/or individual site operations group relative to the objective of an MOG. The review helps identify areas that need improvement. The feedback meeting results in a list of recommendations to improve weak areas with assignment of responsibility for corrective action and target dates for completing the recommendations. Allowance is made for final summary statements by the reviewer. Follow-up meetings are used to review the status of implementing the recommendations.

The primary objective of the MOG is to ensure that the task of operating minicomputers hardware under its jurisdiction, deployed throughout a company, is accomplished in the most complete and efficient manner possible.

2.05 Sensitive Areas

It is essential that the reviewer be aware of certain areas of minicomputer operations. Important functions which help determine whether or not the operations group is performing the operations job satisfactorily on the systems in its jurisdiction include the following:

- Minimum system downtime
- Expedient reporting and processing of trouble conditions
- Knowledge and respect for system priority within a given operating telephone company
- Concern for security of systems, media, and site locations
- Proper processing of media
- Sensitivity to environmental and operational alarms
- Good operations rapport with the user.

Part L, Visual Inspection of Processor and Computer Room Peripherals, of the Operational Review Checklist must be done with the local operations group supervisor on hand. Some of these checks require access to computer cabinets. This must be arranged with the local supervisor. The reviewer makes a visual inspection only, looking for obvious problems. If a defect is found or suspected, the reviewer records the defect and refers it to the Minicomputer Support Group (MSG) for a more detailed inspection at an opportune time least critical to system operation or when the system is down for

preventive maintenance (PM) routines.

These guidelines for sensitive areas should not be construed as deflating the importance of the other portions of the operational review or other areas of responsibility of the operations group. All facets of an operations group must fit together to yield an effective operation. If certain functions are not performed efficiently and effectively, the MOG work force may spend excessive time and effort on these functions to the detriment of other functions.

2.08 References

The primary references for this operational review are:

- Practice 007-550-302
- Practice 007-550-303
- RL 80-03-002
- RL 80-08-245.

3. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

A method for evaluating the performance and effectiveness of the MOG and/or an individual site operations group is provided in this section of this practice. Although size and scope of operation will vary from operations group to operations group and clustered and nonclustered sites, the major objectives of the MOG apply, and an evaluation can be made in light of these objectives. Responsibility for corrective action must be established at a feedback (district-level) meeting.

3.01 Evaluation

The specific procedure for performing the evaluation is contained in Section 4 of this practice. There are more than 100 questions in the review. These questions place emphasis on areas of minicomputer operations which impact the ability of the operations group to fulfill its objectives. Therefore, more emphasis is placed on the end results than on the means to achieve the end results.

The vehicle for evaluation is the MOG Operational Review Checklist (Figure 1). The Review Item column on the checklist contains the individual question to be addressed. If applicable, references to Bellcore Practices (BRs) are included for the individual questions. The Yes/No Data column is used by the reviewer to designate compliance with the item and/or to expand upon deviation or discrepancies. Certain items may not be applicable to a given operations group. These items should be noted as NA on the checklist.

An overall review summary statement and a list of problem items (Part N of checklist) should be prepared by the reviewer for use in the post-evaluation review meeting.

3.02 Post-Evaluation Review Meeting

A post-evaluation review meeting to discuss evaluation results with local supervision and higher levels of management is essential. Results of the review are intended for use primarily as a guideline to improve minicomputer operations. The review should **not** be used as a means of personnel evaluation or appraisal. The review meeting and summary statement can be constructively used as a tool to point up weak spots and problem areas and to offer suggestions for corrective action. The district-level manager should determine responsibilities for corrective action and establish schedules for completion at the review meeting.

The place and time of the review meeting should be established prior to the performance of the review and should be made known to management up to and including the division-level manager. The feedback meeting should follow as soon as possible after the review.

The formal review summary statement and list of problem review items should be prepared by the reviewer and distributed to appropriate parties in keeping with local company practices.

A follow-up review should be scheduled for items found to need corrective action.

4. OUTLINE OF EVALUATION

The basic outline of the operational review evaluation is divided into the following 14 parts:

- (1) A. Organization and Administration: This contains background information which addresses the organizational structure and environment of an MOG and/or individual site operations group in terms of available personnel, coverage periods, systems operated, and criticality of the systems. This part may be sent to the field prior to the review to be filled out and returned so the reviewer can analyze the background material before starting the review.
- (2) B. Personnel: This addresses adequacy of personnel, including training information (formal and on the job), work evaluation procedures, work schedules, safety, and proper rapport with the users.
- (3) C. Maintenance Activity Reports (MARs): This addresses the preparation and submitting of MARs, flow of trouble reports, and trouble analysis.
- (4) D. Operator Procedures: This addresses provision of guidelines for operator responsibility and training in operation and restoration of the systems and peripherals under the operational jurisdiction of the group being reviewed.
- (5) E. Operational Recovery From Catastrophe: This addresses system prioritization for recovery procedures, classification of various types of disasters and disruption, provision of backup facilities, and disaster recovery audits. (See TM ISD-001431.)
- (6) F. Security: This addresses security for computer room site locations, dial-up access ports, data base passwords, and data and general audits.
- (7) G. New System Installation and Coordination: This addresses the operations group supervisor involvement in new system planning, site selection, floor space layout, and operations force requirements based on new system growth.
- (8) H. Housekeeping: This addresses the general appearance of the individual computer site location, documentation storage, and floor space layout.
- (9) I. Environmental Controls: This addresses environmental conditions at the individual site locations, provision of environmental control alarms, procedures for system shutdown in the event of environmental failure, fire detection and protection, and uninterrupted power source provision.
- (10) J. System Operational Alarms: This addresses operations group functions in handling system operational alarms and performing emergency system shutdown procedures at the individual site locations.
- (11) K. Preventive Maintenance (PM): This addresses the provision of a PM schedule for individual site location operations group PM items, completion of the scheduled items, and queries system operations problems with respect to PM performed by the MMG or by the vendor.

- (12) L. Visual Inspection of Processor and Computer Room Peripherals: This provides a visual inspection checklist of items relative to the individual site location processors and computer room peripherals. Visual checks should be made only by the reviewer. Suspected deficiencies should be referred to the MSG for technical inspection at a time least critical to system operation.
- (13) M. User Interview: This gives the user management an opportunity to express its view of the service performed by the operations group.
- (14) N. Summary Statement, Problems and Recommendations Log: This allows for all areas and aspects of an MOG and/or individual site operations group to be addressed by the reviewer(s) in a summary statement to provide a complete picture of the operations group reviewed. This should be used to develop a list of problem review items, recommendations for improvement, and commitments to perform the recommendations.

An exhibit of the Operational Review Checklist is provided in this section of the practice.

MINICOMPUTER OPERATIONS GROUP (MOG)

OPERATIONAL REVIEW

CHECKLIST INDEX

PART	DESCRIPTION
Α.	ORGANIZATION AND ADMINISTRATION
B.	PERSONNEL
C.	MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY REPORTS (MARs)
D.	OPERATOR PROCEDURES
E.	OPERATIONAL RECOVERY FROM CATASTROPHE
F.	SECURITY
G.	NEW SYSTEM INSTALLATION AND COORDINATION
H.*	HOUSEKEEPING
I.*	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROLS
J.*	SYSTEM OPERATIONAL ALARMS
K.*	PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE (PM)
L.*	VISUAL INSPECTION OF PROCESSOR AND COMPUTER ROOM PERIPHERALS
M.*	USER INTERVIEW
N.	SUMMARY STATEMENT, PROBLEMS AND RECOMMENDATIONS LOG

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 1 of 34)

PROPRIETARY – BELLCORE AND AUTHORIZED CLIENTS ONLY

See proprietary restrictions on title page.

^{*} These checklist items are applicable to site locations and should be used to review each site under the jurisdiction of the operations group being reviewed whether clustered or nonclustered.

MINICOMPUTER OPERATIONS GROUP (MOG)

REVIEWER

DATE

REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
. ORGANIZATION AND ADMINISTRA- TION		
How may systems/processors receive operational support from the MOG, i.e., Digital Equipment Corporation (DEC TM), Hewlett-Packard (HP), International Business Machines (IBM), other?		
VENDOR SYSTEMS PROCESSORS		·
DEC HP IBM OTHER		
dditional Comments:	J	******

LOCATION

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 2 of 34)

PROPRIETARY - BELLCORE AND AUTHORIZED CLIENTS ONLY
See proprietary restrictions on title page.

 $^{^{}TM}$ Registered trademark of Digital Equipment Corporation.

MINICOMPUTER OPERATIONS GROUP (MOG)

A. ORGANIZATIO TION (Contd) A.2 How is the MC	TEW ITEM N AND ADMINISTRA-	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
TION (Contd)	N AND ADMINISTRA-		
zational chart management by	G staffed? Secure an organiof the group. List total level:		
• 2nd level			
• 1st level			
List total craft	by title.		

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 3 of 34)

LOCATION	ATION DATE		REVIEWER	
REVI	EW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS	
A. ORGANIZATION TION (Contd)	AND ADMINISTRA-			
A.3 What is the hour day?	ly coverage for each business			
• AM				
• PM				
A.4 What is the hour	ly coverage for weekends?			
• SAT	AM PM			
• SUN	AM PM	1		

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 4 of 34)

LOCATION		DATE	REVIEWER		
REVIEW		W ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS	
	ORGANIZATION FION (Contd)	AND ADMINISTRA-			
A .5		ms operated by this MOG. s 007-560-304 and 007-590-			
A.6	Has a company s been developed? I restoral list to this	ystem priority restoral list f yes, attach a copy of the sheet.			
A .7	Are the critical sity?	ystems in the proper prior-			
A.8		cedures been established to tems during periods of light			
Addi	tional Comments:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 5 of 34)

LOCATION		DATE	REVIEWER	
	REVIEW	ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
A. ORGANIZATION AND ADMINISTRA- TION (Contd)				
4 .9	How long has this MC	G been operational?		
1 .10	Is accounting code in this MOG for coding of	nformation available in of labor and supplies?		
	Have there been any last quarter?	accounting errors in the		
dditi	onal Comments:			

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 6 of 34)

MINICOMPUTER OPERATIONS GROUP (MOG)

LOCATION		DATE	DATE REVIEWER			
	REVIEW I	ГЕМ	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS		
в. Р	PERSONNEL					
B.1	Is the MOG force lar adequate business day a					
B.2	Examine the overtime of actual results for this greels exceed the objection of the objectio	roup. Do overtime lev-				
	Objective Actual					
B.3	Are employee training r MOG?	ecords available in the				
B.4	Does the MOG supervisoof training classes and BR 007-555-353.)					
Addit	tional Comments:					
		*				

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 7 of 34)

PROPRIETARY — BELLCORE AND AUTHORIZED CLIENTS ONLY
See proprietary restrictions on title page.

	REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
в. F	PERSONNEL (Contd)		
B.5	Are MOG work and responsibility schedules posted?		
3.6	Have MOG personnel received adequate training for their assigned responsibility?		
3.7	Has the MOG supervisor identified training needs and have appropriate training courses been requested?		
3.8	Have work evaluation procedures been established for this MOG?		

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 8 of 34)

LOCATION		DATE REVIEWER		
	REVIEW I	TEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
В. Р	ERSONNEL (Contd)			
B.9	Are work inspections mented?	performed and docu-		
B.10	Have procedures been and correct substandar			
B.11	Is there an employee s MOG?	safety plan used in this		
B.12	Are operations person communication and int	nel trained for proper erface with the users?		
Addit	ional Comments:			

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 9 of 34)

MINICOMPUTER OPERATIONS GROUP (MOG)

LOCATION		_ DATE	/IEWER	
	REVIEW ITEM	√I.	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
	MAINTENANCE ACTIVI' MARs)	TY REPORTS		
C.1	Does the MOG keep a troul	ble report log?		
C.2	If yes, is it a log on each ir a common master log?	ndividual system or		
C.3	Does the log contain a list of	of all MARs?		
C.4	Have MARs been submitted failures?	sed for all system		
C.5	Make a sample comparison group MARs to the Minimance Group (MMG) troub MARs forwarded to the I manner?	icomputer Mainte- ble report list. Are		
Addi	tional Comments:			
				,

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 10 of 34)

MINICOMPUTER OPERATIONS GROUP (MOG)

(MARs) (Contd) C.6 Have control procedures been established to ensure that a MAR is submitted for all system turndowns and outages including preventive maintenance (PM) routines? C.7 Are MAR data analyzed by the MOG?	OCATION DAT		DATE	REVIEWER		
(MARs) (Contd) C.6 Have control procedures been established to ensure that a MAR is submitted for all system turndowns and outages including preventive maintenance (PM) routines? C.7 Are MAR data analyzed by the MOG? Does analysis cover: • Quality control • Uncovering intermittent problems • Incorrect trouble reporting • Potential software problems?		REVIEW ITEM			REMARKS/FINDINGS	
ensure that a MAR is submitted for all system turndowns and outages including preventive maintenance (PM) routines? C.7 Are MAR data analyzed by the MOG? C.8 Does analysis cover: • Quality control • Uncovering intermittent problems • Incorrect trouble reporting • Potential software problems?	C. MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY REPORTS (MARs) (Contd)					
O.8 Does analysis cover: • Quality control • Uncovering intermittent problems • Incorrect trouble reporting • Potential software problems?		ensure that a MAR is turndowns and outa	s submitted for all system ges including preventive			
 Quality control Uncovering intermittent problems Incorrect trouble reporting Potential software problems? 	C. 7	Are MAR data analy	zed by the MOG?			
Uncovering intermittent problems Incorrect trouble reporting Potential software problems?	C.8	Does analysis cover:	!			
Incorrect trouble reporting Potential software problems?		• Quality control				
Potential software problems?		• Uncovering interm	ittent problems			
		• Incorrect trouble r	eporting			
Additional Comments:		• Potential software	problems?			
	Additi	onal Comments:				

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 11 of 34)

			REVIEWER	
	REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS	
D. C	PERATOR PROCEDURES			
D.1	Has a set of guidelines of operator responsibility been established? (See p. 007-505-330, Guidelines to Produce S. Agreements for Minicomputer-Based Sys	ractice Service		
D.2	Have all of the operators in this received training in the following cat on the various systems under their jution?	egories		
	• Formal			
	• On-the-job			
	• Follow-up			
	• Daily routines			
	• Restorations.			

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 12 of 34)

LOCATION		_ DATE	REVIEWER		
	REVIEW ITEM		YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS	
D. (OPERATOR PROCEDUR	ES (Contd)			
D.3	Are there manuals and p for each system covering th				
	• Operation				
	• Restoration.				
D.4	For systems under their o tion, have all operators re care and handling of the fo	eceived training on			
	• All disk drives				
	• All tape drives				
	• Printers				
	• Magnetic media, includin	ng disk and tape?			
D.5	Are trouble reporting no MSG, or vendor readily av				
Addi	tional Comments:				

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 13 of 34)

OCATIO	N DATE	REVIEWER	
	REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
	ATIONAL RECOVERY FROM		
MO	e systems under the jurisdiction of this G been prioritized for recovery pronres, first to last? (See TM ISD-001431.)		
and occu	the types of disasters been classified the types of disruption which would ar? (See practices 007-590-200, 007-590- through 304, and 007-590-400.)		
E.3 Has	a backup site or system been determined?		
	re there machines the programs can be ansferred to full or part time?		
	re there locations with suitable machine ackup data link facilities?		
	a disaster recovery audit been completed he last 6 months?		
Additional	Comments:		

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 14 of 34)

LOCATION		DATE	REVIEWER	
	REVIEW I	ГЕМ	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
F. SE	CURITY			
	PUTER ROOM SITE SECURITY	LOCATION		
F.1	Are computer room doo	rs kept locked?		
F.2	What lock arrangement	is used?		
	• Key or coded?	·		
	• Is the list of personn available?	el-issued keys or cards		
	• Is the key or coded cally?	lock changed periodi-		
	How is access to the company employees are pany employees control	nd unauthorized com-		
Additi	onal Comments:			
	•			

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 15 of 34)

MINICOMPUTER OPERATIONS GROUP (MOG)

LOCATION		ATE	E REVIEWER		
	REVIEW ITEM		YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS	
F. S	ECURITY (Contd)				
DIA	L-UP PORT SECURITY				
F.4	Are make busy features provide ports?	ded for dial-up			
F.5	Are make busy features normal	ly activated?			
F.6	Is management approval requiport access or release of make b	red for dial-up ousy feature?			
F.7	Is a dial-up port access request	log kept?	į		
F.8	Are routine checks of idle port ify that they are made busy use is complete?				
Addi	tional Comments:				
ı				:	

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 16 of 34)

LOCATION		DATE	REVIEWER	
	REVIEW I	ГЕМ	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
F. S	ECURITY (Contd)			
DAT	A BASE PASSWORDS	5		
F.9	How are data base pass	words protected?		
F.10	Are super-user password	ls changed routinely?		
DAT	A AND GENERAL M	EDIA		
F.11	Are backup copies of deral media stored in an			
	• In a separate tape fire zone, or at an off			
	• In a fire protected sarea as computer equ			
Addit	ional Comments:			

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 17 of 34)

LOCATION		DATE	REV	REVIEWER	
	REVIEW ITEM		YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS	
F. SI	ECURITY (Contd)				
DAT.	A AND GENERAL ME	DIA (Contd)			
F.12	Are updates of data b timely manner?	ase performed in a			
	• For local on-site copies				
	• For backup off-site cop	ies			
F.13	Is there an adequate sup on hand to provide backuroutines?				
F.14	Are there means to tran off site, which are safe to media?				
F.15	Are all the media label understandable way?	led in a logical and			
F.16	Is there space for media r in the media library or st				
Addit	ional Comments:				

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 18 of 34)

MINICOMPUTER OPERATIONS GROUP (MOG)

LOCATION		DATE	REVIEWER	
	REVIEW ITEM		YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
F. S	ECURITY (Contd)			
DAT	'A AND GENERAL	MEDIA (Contd)		
F.17		nsport media containing i.e., Automatic Message g Center (AMARC)?		
F.18	If revenue media are sign-off transaction are delivered to its d	transported, is a definite carried out when media estination?		
F.19	Is the MOG respon clustered system bac	sible for storage of non- kup media? If so:		
	Is there a formal cedure?	sign-off transaction pro-		
		nonitor the incoming system and ensure it is received r?		
Addit	tional Comments:			

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 19 of 34)

LOCATION	DATE	REVIEWER	
	REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
	G. NEW SYSTEM INSTALLATION AND COORDINATION		
	OG supervisor become involved in g effort for new system proposals?		
tion selection that a sati possible?	G supervisor involved in site location and floor space layout to ensure sfactory operational effort will be (See BR 007-560-310, Test and Procedures for Minicomputer Sys-		
accommoda	MOG supervisor have a plan to te expected operations activity ojected system growth?		
installation 505-330, Gi	agreements facilitated prior to the of a new system? (See BR 007- nidelines to Produce Service Agree- finicomputer-Based Systems)		
Additional Commo	ents:		

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 20 of 34)

MINICOMPUTER OPERATIONS GROUP (MOG)

LOCATION		DATE	REVIEWER	
	REVIEW ITEM		YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
н. н	OUSEKEEPING			
H.1	Is the general appearance of the orderly?	he computer site		
I.2	Inspect both floors and cabin the computer site clean?	ets for dust. Is		
H.3	Is the on-site documentation k location and is this material ea			
H.4	Is the material orderly?			
H.5	Is the floor layout conducive tion and maintenance?	to easy opera-		
H.6	Are on-site documents and s conveniently located?	storage cabinets		
4 ddi(cional Comments:			

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 21 of 34)

LOCATION		DATE	REVIEWER	
	REVIEW ITEM		YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
I. E	NVIRONMENTAL C	ONTROLS		
I.1	Is this a controlled env	vironment site location?		
1.2	Is an environmental a monitor temperature a	larm system installed to and humidity?		
I.3	Is the alarm system te	sted on a regular basis?		
I.4	Are there any obviou location of alarm dete	s discrepancies with the ctors?		
I.5		site is not covered by a are the environmental		

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 22 of 34)

MINICOMPUTER OPERATIONS GROUP (MOG)

LOCA	TION D	ATE	REVIEWER	
	REVIEW ITEM		S/NO ATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
I. ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROLS (Contd)		LS (Contd)		
I.6	Is the alarm transfer site cove day?	red 24 hours a		
1.7	Is the alarm transfer feature to lar basis?	sted on a regu-		
I.8	Has the temperature or hu failed during noncovered shifts?			
	• If so, did the alarm transfer?			
	• Was response timely?			
I.9	Are environmental alarms set with vendor-recommended guid			
I.10	Are the environmental contropower?	ls on stand-by		
Addi	tional Comments:			

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 23 of 34)

OCA	TION	DATE	REV	VIEWER
	REVIEW I	ГЕМ	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
I. EN	NVIRONMENTAL CO	ONTROLS (Contd)		
I.11	Has a procedure been shutdown in the ever failure?			
I.12	What means are provand protection?	ided for fire detection		
I.13	What fire fighting tech this site?	niques are available at		
I.14	Are MOG employees reporting and fire fight			
I.15		power source (UPS) systems at this site		
I.16	Has UPS been provided	1?		
Addit	ional Comments:			
ı				

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 24 of 34)

MINICOMPUTER OPERATIONS GROUP (MOG)

LOCATION		DATE	REV	TEWER
	REVIEW I	ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
J. s	YSTEM OPERATION	NAL ALARMS		
J.1		ystems at this location nerate an operational lure?		
J.2	Where are the alarms coverage hours?	transferred during non-		
J.3	Is the alarm transfer aday?	site covered 24 hours a		
J.4	Is the alarm transfer follar basis?	eature tested on a regu-		

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 25 of 34)

PROPRIETARY - BELLCORE AND AUTHORIZED CLIENTS ONLY

LOCA	TION	DATE	REV	TEWER
	REVIEW I	ТЕМ	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
	STEM OPERATION	IAL ALARMS		
J.5	Are transferred alarms sonable time frame?	s acted upon in a rea-		
J.6	Are emergency system on hand and readily av	n shutdown procedures vailable?		
1.7	Are all MOG personne rying out these procedu	l knowledgeable in car- ires?		

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 26 of 34)

MINICOMPUTER OPERATIONS GROUP (MOG)

LOCATION DATE		REVIEWER		
	REVIEW ITEM		YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
к. н	PREVENTIVE MAINTE	NANCE (PM)		
K.1	Site management guides, vendor, or equivalent do be on hand for use. Is a site management guides mentation available for tion by the MOG?	ocumentation should sufficient number of or equivalent docu-		
K.2	Does the guide include a I	PM schedule section?		
K.3	Is a PM schedule on han this site location?	d for all systems at		
K.4	Are operations group scheduled?	PMs defined and		
Addi	tional Comments:			

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 27 of 34)

PROPRIETARY - BELLCORE AND AUTHORIZED CLIENTS ONLY

LOCA	TION	DATE	RE'	VIEWER
	REVII	EW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
	PREVENTIVE M.	AINTENANCE (PM)		
K.5	Have the operation pleted as schedule	ns group routines been comd?		
K.6	Is recording of cordate?	mpleted MOG routines up to		
K.7		es performed by the MMG heduled with the MOG?		
K.8		dor PMs complete up to the ay require checking MMG or		
K.9	tions problems?	on caused any system opera- If so, what steps have been AMG or vendor to avoid problem?		
A ddit	ional Comments:			

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 28 of 34)

LOCATIO	N DATE	REV	TIEWER
	REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
-	L INSPECTION OF PROCESSOR COMPUTER ROOM PERI- ALS		
para BR (reviewer must read and be familiar with graph 1.16 and subparagraph 3.01(12) of 007-550-309 before performing the checks ined in this part.		
	ally check for the following and record discrepancies:		
• Oı	rganization of on-site media.		
• Lo	pose cables.		
• w	orn cables (UNIBUS* System).		
• Be	ent backplane pins.		
• Lo	oose or misaligned circuit packs.		
• Br	roken connectors.		
• Lo	oose or broken external parts.		
Additional	Comments:		
	•		

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 29 of 34)

MINICOMPUTER OPERATIONS GROUP (MOG)

REVIEW ITEM L. VISUAL INSPECTION OF PROCESSOR AND COMPUTER ROOM PERI- PHERALS (Contd) Poorly placed cables, bunched cables, etc. Excessive vibration in fans and motors. Missing or defective lights, lamps, or indicators. Dirt dust contamination of machine area. Alignment of tape drives. Obvious wear on mechanical components. Loose or damaged floor tiles that could cause an accident on a raised floor. At the ac circuit breaker panel, check for logical identification of circuit breaker assignments.
 PHERALS (Contd) Poorly placed cables, bunched cables, etc. Excessive vibration in fans and motors. Missing or defective lights, lamps, or indicators. Dirt dust contamination of machine area. Alignment of tape drives. Obvious wear on mechanical components. Loose or damaged floor tiles that could cause an accident on a raised floor. At the ac circuit breaker panel, check for logical identification of circuit breaker
 Excessive vibration in fans and motors. Missing or defective lights, lamps, or indicators. Dirt dust contamination of machine area. Alignment of tape drives. Obvious wear on mechanical components. Loose or damaged floor tiles that could cause an accident on a raised floor. At the ac circuit breaker panel, check for logical identification of circuit breaker
 Missing or defective lights, lamps, or indicators. Dirt dust contamination of machine area. Alignment of tape drives. Obvious wear on mechanical components. Loose or damaged floor tiles that could cause an accident on a raised floor. At the ac circuit breaker panel, check for logical identification of circuit breaker
 Dirt dust contamination of machine area. Alignment of tape drives. Obvious wear on mechanical components. Loose or damaged floor tiles that could cause an accident on a raised floor. At the ac circuit breaker panel, check for logical identification of circuit breaker
 Alignment of tape drives. Obvious wear on mechanical components. Loose or damaged floor tiles that could cause an accident on a raised floor. At the ac circuit breaker panel, check for logical identification of circuit breaker
 Obvious wear on mechanical components. Loose or damaged floor tiles that could cause an accident on a raised floor. At the ac circuit breaker panel, check for logical identification of circuit breaker
 Loose or damaged floor tiles that could cause an accident on a raised floor. At the ac circuit breaker panel, check for logical identification of circuit breaker
 ause an accident on a raised floor. At the ac circuit breaker panel, check for logical identification of circuit breaker
logical identification of circuit breaker
assignments.
• Are unused circuit breaker slots properly covered both on the ac panel and on the rear of processor hardware?

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 30 of 34)

MINICOMPUTER OPERATIONS GROUP (MOG)

	REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
	VISUAL INSPECTION OF PROCESSOR AND COMPUTER ROOM PERI- PHERALS (Contd)		
3	Verify with the operations groups that the following items are checked during PM routines performed by the MMG or the vendor:		
	Power supply voltage		
	 Contamination of disk heads 		
	 Wear on disk and tape heads 		
	Brushes and belts on motor drives.		
	• •		

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 31 of 34)

PROPRIETARY — BELLCORE AND AUTHORIZED CLIENTS ONLY

See proprietary restrictions on title page.

MINICOMPUTER OPERATIONS GROUP (MOG)

LOCA?	rion	DATE	REV	TEWER
	REVIEW I	ТЕМ	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
M. U	SER INTERVIEW			
	Is the user management tem operation service p being reviewed?			
	If not, specifically statement examples.	ate why not and give		
Additi	onal Comments:			

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 32 of 34)

MINICOMPUTER MAINTENANCE GROUP (MOG)

PART N. SUMMARY STATEMENT

LOCATION	DATE	REVIEWER	

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 33 of 34)

MINICOMPUTER MAINTENANCE GROUP (MOG)

PART N. PROBLEMS AND RECOMMENDATIONS LOG

LOCATION	DATE R	EVIEWER
REVIEW ITEM	RECOMMENDATIONS	COMMITMENT (WHO/WHEN)

Figure 1. Exhibit of Operational Review Checklist (Sheet 34 of 34)

PROPRIETARY - BELLCORE AND AUTHORIZED CLIENTS ONLY

See proprietary restrictions on title page.