



# MINICOMPUTER MAINTENANCE GROUP (MMG) OPERATIONAL REVIEW

MINICOMPUTER MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS CENTER (MMOC)

Prepared by the Information Management Services Division, Bell Communications Research, Inc., December 1985.

Copyright © 1985, Bell Communications Research, Inc. All rights reserved.

#### CONTENTS

1.	GENERAL	. 1
	1.1 Purpose	. 1
	1.2 REASONS FOR REISSUE	. 1
	1.3 USE OF GENERAL TRADE VENDOR TERMS	. ]
2.	INTRODUCTION	. 1
	2.1 PRINCIPLE FEATURES OF THE REVIEW	. 1
	2.2 APPLICATION AND USE OF RESULTS	. 1
	2.3 PURPOSE OF REVIEW	. :
	2.4 BACKGROUND	. :
	2.5 EVALUATION CRITERIA	. 2
	2.6 REFERENCES	. 3
	2.7 GLOSSARY	. ;
3.	GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS	. 3
	3.1 GENERAL	. 3
	3.2 EVALUATION	. 3
	3.3 POST EVALUATION REVIEW MEETING	. 4
4.	OUTLINE OF EVALUATION	. 4
5	OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLISTS	

#### GENERAL

#### 1.1 Purpose

This practice provides procedures to conduct an operational review and overall performance evaluation of a Minicomputer Maintenance Group (MMG) self-maintenance operation.

#### 1.2 REASONS FOR REISSUE

This practice is being reissued due to changes caused by divestiture.

#### 1.3 USE OF GENERAL TRADE VENDOR TERMS

The use of general trade vendor terms or procedures does not imply endorsement or exclusion of others. For brevity and simplicity, only those which are presently a significant factor in operation and support are covered.

#### 2. INTRODUCTION

This practice is intended to be one in a series to be used to conduct operational reviews of the Minicomputer Maintenance Operations Center (MMOC). It is divided into the following sections:

- Section 1. General
- Section 2. Introduction
- Section 3. General Instructions
- · Section 4. Outline of Evaluation
- Section 5. Operational Review Checklists

#### 2.1 PRINCIPLE FEATURES OF THE REVIEW

Section 3 explains the methods employed in the review and post review procedures.

Section 4 contains a basic outline of the areas covered in the review checklist, along with remarks on the key points in each area.

Section 5 contains the Operational Review Checklists, Summary Statement, and Problems and Recommendations Log.

This review is intended to evaluate MMG operations. It is not intended to evaluate minicomputer site operations, vendor maintenance, or the Minicomputer Operation Group (MOG) function.

The Operational Review Checklist items listed in this practice are intended to indicate what is to be reviewed rather than to limit the scope of the review. Additional items may be considered in the review even though they are not specifically covered in the printed questions. These additional items may be used in later evaluations for company-wide benefit. Furthermore, if a unique method of handling some particular problem or procedure is discovered, the reviewer may (and should) ask additional questions to bring out details for possible wide use of the idea.

#### 2.2 APPLICATION AND USE OF RESULTS

Review results may be used by appropriate levels of management to identify:

- Effectiveness of the minicomputer self-maintenance effort as administered by the MMG
- Performance and efficiency of the MMG operation

- Force efficiency and administration
- Need for policy changes.

The overall view gained in this relatively short study should permit a decision that either:

- · No specific action is needed at this time.
- Certain activities are indicated and should be taken without further evaluation.
- Further study of the situation is required to determine the extent of problems indicated by the initial survey and the total corrective program necessary.

#### 2.3 PURPOSE OF REVIEW

This operational review is designed to yield an overall view of the performance and effectiveness of the MMG. The objective of this review is to identify problem areas and provide a vehicle for administering corrective action rather than for rating performance. It also serves as an excellent tool for self-review to indicate weak spots.

#### 2.4 BACKGROUND

This review does not use a point system. It takes an overall look at the MMG operation relative to the objective of an MMG and helps identify areas that need improvement. The feedback meeting results in a list of recommendations to improve weak areas with assignment responsibility for corrective action and target dates for completing the recommendations. Allowance is made for final summary statements by the reviewer. Follow-up meetings are used to review the status of implementing the recommendations.

The MMG's primary objective is to ensure that the task of maintaining hardware in minicomputers considered telephone company maintainable and deployed throughout a company is accomplished in the most complete and efficient manner possible.

#### 2.5 EVALUATION CRITERIA

It is essential that the reviewer be aware of certain important areas of MMG operation. Functions that help determine whether or not the MMG is performing the self-maintenance job satisfactorily on the systems it maintains include:

- (a) Percentage Maintenance Availability: This reflects outage time that can be directly attributed to the maintenance organization because of preventive maintenance (PM) and change activity, and corrective maintenance (CM) time from the time service was desired until the system was turned over to the user or operator for restoration. This percentage of time is critical to adequate performance of the user functions and is an important measure of MMG service.
- (b) Average Response Time: This measures the amount of time required for the MMG to respond to a trouble call reporting system outage and dispatch personnel to correct the problem; i.e., from the time service was desired until the minicomputer repair person arrives at the location in trouble.
- (c) Average Repair Time: This measures the maintenance hours expended to repair a failed system.

An additional area for consideration, although not fully under control of the MMG, is the percentage of systems considered maintainable by the operating telephone company that are actually maintained by the MMG. This is an implementation item that should be pursued in order to evaluate the overall implementation of MMG operations.

Evaluation criteria, as covered in this practice, serve to highlight some significant and measurable aspects of MMG operation and are not meant to minimize others. All facets of MMG performance must fit together to yield an effective operation. If certain functions are not performed efficiently and effectively, the MMG work force may spend excessive time and effort on these functions to the detriment of other functions.

#### 2.6 REFERENCES

The primary references for this operational review are:

- Practice BR 007-560-300 (canceled)
- Individual operating telephone company administrative practices.

#### 2.7 GLOSSARY

Computer Subsystem - Includes the central processor and peripheral devices associated with a minicomputer-based operations system. These are usually located in the computer room, generally considered to be vendor provided, and normally serviced by vendor contract.

Critical System - Each operating telephone company should establish and prioritize its own critical systems. Guidelines can be found in BR 190-020-567 and the BR 007-590-300 series. As a general rule, critical systems should be those considered important enough to contain hot spare back-up or duplicate processors.

Light Coverage - Time periods when full work coverage is not provided at the MMOC.

Options - Major pieces of in-service hardware equipment, i.e., computer processors and peripheral devices as listed in the individual operations support system J-Drawings.

Recommended Documentation - In each operating telephone company, minicomputer self-maintenance methods personnel should ensure that adequate documentation is available for proper maintenance to be performed. This documentation could vary depending on the vendor involved but should include such items as Site Management Guides, Digital Equipment Corporation (DEC) Maintenance Documentation Service (MDS), DEC-O-LOG, Hewlett Packard service notes, other vendor service notes, appropriate Practices, and applicable ILs (Information Letters), ALs (Advisory Letters), MALs (Major Advisory Letters) and NPLs (National Planning Letters).

System - The word "system" is used to denote both operations system and the computer subsystem in this practice, depending on context.

Operations System - An operations system consists of the computer subsystem, data links, plant interface equipment, and software.

#### 3. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

#### 3.1 GENERAL

This section details a method for evaluating the performance and effectiveness of an MMG operation. Although size and scope of operation will vary, the major objectives of an MMG apply, and an evaluation can be made from these objectives. Responsibility for corrective action must be established at a feedback (district level) meeting.

#### 3.2 EVALUATION

The specific procedure for performing the evaluation is contained in Section 3 of the review. There are over 95 distinct questions in the review. These questions place emphasis on areas of MMG operation

#### PROPRIETARY - BELLCORE AND AUTHORIZED CLIENTS ONLY

that impact the ability of the MMG to fulfill its objectives. More emphasis is placed on the end results than on the means to achieve the end results.

The vehicle for evaluation is the MMG Operational Review Checklist. The Review Item column on the form contains the individual question to be addressed. If applicable, Bellcore Practices references are included for the individual questions. The Yes/No Data column of the form is used for direct answers to the questions. The Remarks/Finding column is used by the reviewer to designate compliance with the item and/or to expand upon deviation or discrepancies. Certain items may not be applicable to a given MMG operation. These items should be noted as NA on the checklist.

An overall Review Summary Statement and a list of problem items should be prepared by the reviewer for use in the post evaluation review meeting.

#### 3.3 POST EVALUATION REVIEW MEETING

A post evaluation review meeting to discuss evaluation results with local supervision and higher levels of management is essential. The post evaluations review meeting and Review Summary Statement should be constructively used as a tool to point up weak spots and problem areas and to offer suggestions for corrective action. The district level manager should determine responsibilities for corrective action and establish schedules for completion at the review meeting.

The place and time of the review meeting should be established prior to the performance of the review and should be made known to management up to and including the division level manager. The feedback meeting should follow as soon as possible after the review.

The formal Review Summary Statement and list of Problem Review Items should be prepared by the reviewer and distributed to appropriate parties in keeping with local company practices.

A follow-up review should be scheduled for items found to need corrective action.

#### 4. OUTLINE OF EVALUATION

The basic outline of the operational review evaluation is divided into the following twelve parts:

- (1) A: Organization and Administration This section contains background information which addresses the MMG organizational structure and environment in terms of available personnel, coverage periods, number of computer subsystems maintained, number of computer subsystems considered maintainable, and criticality of the systems. This section may be sent to the field prior to the review to be filled out and returned so the reviewer may analyze the background material before starting the review.
- (2) B: Personnel This section addresses adequacy of personnel, including training information (formal and on the job) work evaluation procedures, work schedules, job duties, and qualifications of personnel.
- (3) C: Documentation This section addresses the availability, storage, and accessibility of required documentation. Refer to OTC administrative practices.
- (4) **D:** System Inventory This section addresses the inventory status of all minicomputer systems currently within the jurisdiction of the MMG.
- (5) E: Preventive Maintenance (PM) This section addresses development of a PM schedule, procedure for system release to perform PM, status of scheduled PM routines and analysis of PM found troubles.

- (6) F: Corrective Maintenance (CM) This section addresses CM procedures, flow of trouble reports, use of proper forms, trouble analysis, and CM objectives.
- (7) G: Activity Reports This section addresses the submission, control, and analysis of the Maintenance Activity Reports (MAR) in accordance with Bellcore Practices guidelines.
- (8) H: New System Installation and Coordination This section addresses the MMG involvement in new installation planning, performance of acceptance tests on new installations, and follow-up on correcting acceptance test failures. Refer to operating telephone company administrative practices.
- (9) I: Field Change Order (FCO) Application This section addresses the MMG awareness of all current FCOs and efforts to administer FCO application. It addresses the status of FCOs on systems being transferred from vendor to operating telephone company maintenance and the method used to notify the Minicomputer Support Group (MSG) of completed FCOs. Refer to operating telephone company administrative practices.
- (10) J: Spare Parts This section addresses the level of spare parts stock, method for obtaining non-stock parts, analysis of parts utilization, circuit pack repair, and quality and effectiveness of circuit pack repair.
- (11) K: Technical Support This section addresses the provision of technical support, availability of an escalation procedure, frequency of escalation, provision of a vendor service support agreement, and effectiveness of the MSG relevant to escalated items. Refer to operating telephone company administrative practices, Practice BR 007-560-301, and any operational support system practice which provides escalation procedure, i.e., Automatic Message Accounting Recording Center (AMARC).
- (12) L: Summary Statement (Problems and Recommendations) This section allows for all areas and aspects of MMG operation to be addressed by the reviewer(s) in a summary statement to provide a complete picture of the MMG operation. This section should be used to develop a list of problem items, recommendations for improvement, and commitments from responsible individuals for action on the recommendations. This allows subsequent review and analysis of corrective actions associated with review weak spots.

#### 5. OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLISTS

The following items are included in this section:

- Operational Review Checklists
- Summary Statement, Problems and Recommendations Log.

#### MINICOMPUTER MAINTENANCE GROUP (MMG)

#### OPERATIONAL REVIEW

#### CHECKLIST INDEX

SECTION	DESCRIPTION
A.	ORGANIZATION AND ADMINISTRATION
B.	PERSONNEL
C.	DOCUMENTATION
D.	SYSTEM INVENTORY (FORM E-6634D)
E.	PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE (PM)
F.	CORRECTIVE MAINTENANCE (CM)
G.	ACTIVITY REPORTS
Н.	NEW INSTALLATION AND COORDINATION
I.	FIELD CHANGE ORDER (FCO) APPLICATIONS
J.	SPARE PARTS
K.	TECHNICAL SUPPORT
L.	SUMMARY STATEMENT, PROBLEMS AND RECOMMENDATIONS LOG

#### OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 1 OF 40)

PROPRIETARY - BELLCORE AND AUTHORIZED CLIENTS ONLY

LOCATION	N DATE	$\underline{}$ REVIEWER $\underline{}$	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		YES/NO	DEMARKS /EINIDINGS
	REVIEW ITEM	DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
A. ORGA	NIZATION AND ADMINISTRATION		
A.1 How l	ong has this MMG been operational?		
A.2 How 1	many computer subsystems are considered		
	none company maintainable by		
	perating telephone company (BOC)?		
	For a constraint or metal ( = 1 ).		
• Digi	tal Equipment Corporation (DEC)		
_	• • • • •		
• Hew	elett Packard (HP)		
	•		
• Inte	rnational Business Machines (IBM)		
• AT&	&T - TI		
• Oth			
			<u> </u>
Additional	Comments:		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 2 OF 40)

PROPRIETARY - BELLCORE AND AUTHORIZED CLIENTS ONLY

LOC	ATION	DATE	RE`	${f vie Wer}$	
	_			YES/NO	
<u> </u>		EVIEW ITEM		DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
A. (	ORGANIZATION AN	ND ADMINISTRATION (C	Contd)		
A.3	How many computer s maintained by the MN	subsystems are currently MG?			
	• DEC				
	• HP				,
	• IBM				
	• AT&T - TI				
	• Other.				
Add	itional Comments:				
1					

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 3 OF 40)

LOC.	ATIONDATE	_REVIEWER_	
	REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
A. (	RGANIZATION AND ADMINISTRATION (Contd)		
A.4	How is the MMG staffed? Secure an organizational chart of the BOC MMG and Minicomputer Support Group (MSG).		
	• Management (3rd level)		
	• Management (2nd level)		
	• Management (1st level)		
	• Craft		
	Clerical.		
Add	tional Comments:		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 4 OF 40)

LOCATION	DATE H	LEVIEWER_	
REV	TEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
	ADMINISTRATION (Contd)		
A.5 What are the hours of sc the MMG?	heduled coverage for		
• NBDAM	PM		
• EVEAM	PM		
• NIGHTAM	PM		
• SATAMI	PM		
• SUNAM	PM		
• HOLIDAYSAM	_PM		
A.6 Are there any uncovered	hours in the MMG?		
A.7 How is coverage provided	during uncovered hours?		
Additional Comments:			

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 5 OF 40)

LOCA	TIONDATE	REVIEWER	
		YES/NO	
	REVIEW ITEM	DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
A. O	RGANIZATION AND ADMINISTRATION	(Contd)	
A.8	How are trouble reports processed during uncov	rered hours?	
A.9	Are critical systems maintained by this MMG?		
	List systems maintained by this MMG that are considered critical.		
A.10	Has a corporate priority list been established for system restoral in this OTC?	or	
A.11	Have call-out procedures been established to se	rvice	
	critical systems during periods of light or no co	verage?	
Addit	tional Comments:		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 6 OF 40)

LOCA	ATION	DATE	REVIEWER		
		DEVIEW ITEM	YES/N DATA		DEMARKS /FINIDINGS
1 0	DC ANIZATIO	REVIEW ITEM		-	REMARKS/FINDINGS
A. O	RGANIZATIO	N AND ADMINISTRATION (Conto	1)		
A.12	Has hot spare l	pack-up been provided for systems on A.9?			
A.13		sification information available in proper coding of labor and parts?			
A.14	Is the account Refer to A-95-I	classification information correct?			
A.15		l and furniture inventories conducted rvals per this BOC corporate guidelines?			
Addi	tional Comments	:			
1					
•					

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 7 OF 40)

LOCA	TION DATE RE	VIEWER	
		YES/NO	
	REVIEW ITEM	DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
A. 0	RGANIZATION AND ADMINISTRATION (Contd)		
A.16	Are maintenance cost/benefits being tracked according to RL 79-08-288?		
A.17	Are Forms E-10436-439 being used to track maintenance expense versus savings?		
A.18	Review Form E-10438, Monthly Minicomputer Self-Maintenance Cost Analysis.	,	
	• Are monthly operational savings exceeding expenses?		
	• If not, what is the major reason?		
	Has corrective action been taken or planned?		
Addit	ional Comments:		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 8 OF 40)

LOC.	ATIONDATE	REVIEWER_	
	REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO	DEMARKS /EINDINGS
		DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
B. P	ERSONNEL		
B.1	Is the MMG maintenance force staffed to provide adequate normal business day (NBD) and weekend coverage? How is this determined?		
B.2	What is the overtime objective for this group? OT/PERSON/WEEK?		
B.3	What is the current average overtime per person per week for the group?		
B.4	Are overtime levels acceptable?		
B.5	Are employee training records available in the MMG?		
Addi	tional Comments:		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 9 OF 40)

LOCA	TIONDATE	_ REVIEWER	
	REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
B. PE	CRSONNEL		
B.6	Have MMG personnel received adequate training for the type of work to which they are assigned?		
B.7	Have personnel been cross trained in the maintenance of more than one vendor's hardware?		
B.8	Does the MMG manager have a current copy of vendor and Bell training classes available?		
B.9	Has the MMG manager identified future training needs?		
B.10	Have appropriate courses been scheduled to meet these needs?		
Addit	ional Comments:		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 10 OF 40)

LOCATIONDATE		_REVIEWER	
		YES/NO	
	REVIEW ITEM	DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
В. Р	ERSONNEL (Contd)		
B.11	Following formal training, are personnel assigned to the type of work that will reinforce their training?		
B.12	Does the MMG schedule on-the-job training?		
B.13	Have work evaluation procedures been established?		
B.14	Are work inspections performed and documented? How?		
B.15	Have procedures been established to follow up and correct substandard performance?		
Addi	tional Comments:		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 11 OF 40)

LOCA	TIONDATE	<u>REVIEWER</u>	
		YES/NO	DELCA DICC/EINDSICC
	REVIEW ITEM	DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
B. Pl	ERSONNEL (Contd)		
B.16	Are work schedules and job duties posted at the MMG?		
B.17	Does the MMG maintain a qualifications list on its personnel?		
B.18	Is there an employee safety plan used in this MMG?		
Addit	ional Comments:		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 12 OF 40)

<u>LOC</u>	ATIONDATE	REVIEWER_	
	REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
C. I	DOCUMENTATION		Television of the second of th
C.1	Is a list of recommended documentation available at the MMG?		
C.2	Is all recommended documentation available?		
	• Current Maintenance Documentation Service (MDS) mic fiche	ero-	
	• Current HP service notes		
	Other vendor service notes.		
C.3	Is documentation supporting local OTC maintenance p cedures available in the MMG? What specific documentat is available?		
	• Is an adequate system restoral procedure available for Miquick reference?	MG	
	<ul> <li>Are trouble reporting procedures available at the site lo tion? Sample or spot-check.</li> </ul>	oca-	
Add	itional Comments:		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 13 OF 40)

LOC	ATION	DATE	RE	VIEWER_	
				YES/NO	
		REVIEW ITEM	·	DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
C. I	OCUMENTA	TION (Contd)			
C.4	Have controls lof documentati What type of co				
	Are they effect	ive?			
C.5	Is documentati	on properly stored and readily accessible?			
C.6	If recommended been ordered?	d documentation is missing, has it			
Add	itional Comment	ts:			
-					

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 14 OF 40)

LOC	<u>ATION</u>	DATE	REVIEWER_	<del></del>
		REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
D. S	YSTEM INVI	ENTORY (FORM E-6634D)	DAIA	ILEMAILES/FINDINGS
D.1	of all	G maintain a current inventory its jurisdiction?		
	Does the invendata over Form	ntory include all of the m E-6634D?		
D.2		tory consistent with MSG inventory? nst MSG Form E-6634D and resolve cies.		
D.3		tory include all of the in-service pieces of hardware associated with		
Addi	itional Commen	ts:	•	
			·	

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 15 OF 40)

OCATION	DATE	REVIEWER_	
	REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDING
D. SYSTEM INVE	NTORY (FORM E-6634D) (Contd)		
D.4 Does each syste	m inventory include:		
• The proper (CLLI) of each	Common Language Location Identer the system?	ification	
• Name and to contact?	elephone number of the responsible mai	ntenance	
tem inventory	nventory current and accurate? Samp of a recent installation and compare to ed inventory report.		
Additional Comment	s: ·		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 16 OF 40)

LOCATI	ON	DATE	REV	/IEWER_	<del>-</del>
		REVIEW ITEM		YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
E. PRE	VENTIVE M.	AINTENANCE (PM)			AND THE STATE OF T
	s a PM schedule jurisdiction of	e been developed for all system the MMG?	ns within		
	rify that all exist the PM schedul	sting systems are listed e.			
	-	does the PM schedule cover a d in the Site Management Gu			
(da		lowns negotiated with the use er, etc.) when the PM schedule			
	in the event of	re for obtaining system release conflicts between the mainta			
	o, describe. al Comments:				
radition	ar comments:				

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 17 OF 40)

LOCAT	FION DATE REVIEW ITEM	REV	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
E. PR	EVENTIVE MAINTENANCE (PM)	(Contd)		TELEVITION OF THE TOTAL OF
E.6	Are all PM routines complete to the cur	rent date?		
E.7	Is PM backlogged due to work load, etc?			
	Is a Minicomputer Activity Report (MAI used to record PM time?	₹)		
E.9 A	Are completed routines logged?			
E.10 S	Sample PMs requiring system turndown.			
•	<ul> <li>Have activity reports been completed sample?</li> </ul>	for each system in the		
•	<ul> <li>Compare completion dates to the Report Log</li> </ul>	Minicomputer Activity		
	<ul> <li>Is posting on the PM schedule consis Report Log information?</li> </ul>	tent with the Activity		
Additio	onal Comments:			

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 18 OF 40)

LOCA	ATION	DATE	REVIEWE	R	
			YES/		
	· · _	REVIEW ITEM	DAT	<u>A</u>	REMARKS/FINDINGS
E. P	REVENTIVE I	MAINTENANCE (PM) (Contd)			
E.11	Does an analys	sis plan exist for completed PMs			
	that tracks pa	tterns of found troubles?			
E.12		action been taken as a result			
	of the analysis				
	If so, describe.				
F 12	In them a mate	on of topublic coulting from DM			
E.13	activities?	ern of troubles resulting from PM			
	activities:				
E.14	Have changes	been made in vendor recommended			
	PM frequency?				ļ
		ES, explain change and rationale.			
Addi	tional Comments				
1					
i					

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 19 OF 40)

<u> </u>	ATIONDATE	<u> REVIEWER</u>	
	REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
F. 0	CORRECTIVE MAINTENANCE (CM)		
F.1	How are trouble reports received by the MMG?		
F.2	Are control logs maintained detailing troubles, times, and reported by information?		
F.3	Are reports of system outage received from users/operators timely? Sample 10 reports and compare report time with time system went down.		
	Note: Although this is a user/operator responsibility, it contributes to the overall system downtime and should be monitored by the MMG.		
Add	itional Comments:		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 20 OF 40)

LOC	ATION	DATE	REVIEWER_	
		REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
F. (	CORRECTIVE	MAINTENANCE (CM) (Contd)	DILIT	Tellivizations/Times/Times
1.		(Sizer virial (Sizer) (Sound)		
F.4		e to reported trouble timely?		
		reports used in question F.3 and		
	-	reported time with maintenance		
	start time.		ļ	
F.5	Is analysis being	done on trouble reports to		
		lure equipment or components?		
				·
F.6		lles been adjusted as a result of		
	trouble analysis			
F.7	What objectives	have been set for:		
	• Percent main	enance availability?		
	• Average respo	onse time?		
	• Average repai	r hours?		
Add	itional Comments	<b>:</b> :		
ŀ				
İ				
i				

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 21 OF 40)

LOCA	TION	DATE	REVIEWER		
	REV	TEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS	
F. C	DRRECTIVE MAINTE	CNANCE (CM) (Contd)			
F.8	report.	maintenance performance see objectives are being met?			
F.9	What is this BOC percent	nt maintenance availability?			
F.10	If the objective and com are not acceptable, is the plan for improvement?				
Addi	ional Comments:				

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 22 OF 40)

REVIEW ITEM  G. ACTIVITY REPORTS  G.1 Have activity reports been submitted for all system failures for which the MMG was called?  Note:  This may be a user/operator function depending on the type of maintenance activity but should be monitored by the MMG since reporting all failures affects the base results of the MMG.  Compare activity reports to MMG trouble report list.  G.2 Have control procedures been established to ensure that an activity report has been submitted for all system turndowns and outages?  Additional Comments:	OCA?	TION	DATE	REVIEWER_	
G. ACTIVITY REPORTS  G.1 Have activity reports been submitted for all system failures for which the MMG was called?  Note:  This may be a user/operator function depending on the type of maintenance activity but should be monitored by the MMG since reporting all failures affects the base results of the MMG.  Compare activity reports to MMG trouble report list.  G.2 Have control procedures been established to ensure that an activity report has been submitted for all system turndowns and outages?			REVIEW ITEM		REMARKS/FINDING
Note: This may be a user/operator function depending on the type of maintenance activity but should be monitored by the MMG since reporting all failures affects the base results of the MMG. Compare activity reports to MMG trouble report list.  G.2 Have control procedures been established to ensure that an activity report has been submitted for all system turndowns and outages?	G. AC	TIVITY REI	PORTS		
This may be a user/operator function depending on the type of maintenance activity but should be monitored by the MMG since reporting all failures affects the base results of the MMG.  Compare activity reports to MMG trouble report list.  G.2 Have control procedures been established to ensure that an activity report has been submitted for all system turndowns and outages?					
that an activity report has been submitted for all system turndowns and outages?	T t; b	This may be a sype of mainter by the MMG six base results of t	nance activity but should be monitored not reporting all failures affects the the MMG.		·
	t	hat an activity	y report has been submitted for all		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 23 OF 40)

LOC	ATIONDATE	<u> REVIEWER</u>			
	REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS		
G. ACTIVITY REPORTS (Contd)			ICDIVITION OF THE CONTROL OF THE CON		
G.3	Are maintenance activity report data (forms, log, printouts) being analyzed by maintenance personnel?				
G.4	Does analysis cover quality control, uncovering intermittent problems, incorrect trouble diagnosis and potential software problems?				
G.5	How is the data utilized?				
Add	Additional Comments:				

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 24 OF 40)

<u> </u>	ATION DATE R	EVIEWER_	
	REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO	DEMARKS /EINDINGS
LI N	IEW SYSTEM INSTALLATION AND COORDINATION	DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
11. 1	tew sistem installation and coordination	<b>'</b>	
H.1	Is the MMG supervisor included in the planning effort for new system installation?		
H.2	Does the MMG supervisor participate in coordination and cutover committees?		
H.3	Does the MMG supervisor sign off on equipment floor plans?		
H.4	Does the MMG perform acceptance testing on all new installations?		
H.5	Does this testing include the entire system or just the computer subsystem?		
Additional Comments:			

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 25 OF 40)

LOCATION DATE RE			REVIEWER_	EVIEWER		
			YES/NO			
		REVIEW ITEM	DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS		
H. NEW SYSTEM INSTALLATION AND COORDINATION (Contd)			ON			
H.6		system acceptance test results maintained by the MMG?				
H.7		for ensuring that computer subsystem allures are corrected and retested a assigned?				
H.8	Who has this resp	onsibility?				
H.9		pervisor have a plan to accommodate ance activity based on projected				
Addi	Additional Comments:					

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 26 OF 40)

LOC	CATION	DATE	REVIEWER	
		REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
I. F	I. FIELD CHANGE ORDER (FCO) APPLICATION			
I.1	Is a list of FCO in the MMG?	recommendations available		
	Note: This should be the of the MSG.	ne administrative responsibility		
I.2	Does the MMG r orders from the N	eceive current lists of change MSG or maintenance engineer?		
I.3	Are all recommendation maintained by the	nded FCOs being applied to systems ne MMG?		
I.4	Has a schedule b for turndowns to	een developed with the system's users apply FCOs?		
I.5	Is there a backlo	g of uncompleted FCOs?		
Add	itional Comments	:		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 27 OF 40)

JOC!	ATION	DATE	_REVIEWER_	
		REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDING
I. FI	ELD CHANG	E ORDER (FCO) APPLICATION (Con		,
I.6	Are FCOs back availability?	klogged due to work load or parts	·	
I.7	Are MMG reco	rds adequate and accurate to control FCO application?		
I.8	Have parts bee support curren	en ordered from the vendor to t FCOs?		
I.9	on systems being company main			,
I.10	Is there a meth	nod to notify the MSG of completed FCOs?		
Addit	tional Comment	s:		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 28 OF 40)

LOCATION	DATE	REVIEWER_	
	REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
I. FIELD CHANG	GE ORDER (FCO), APPLICATION (Cont		,
I.11 Is a Method of hardware retr	of Procedure (MOP) prepared for major rofit changes to a system?		
Who coordina	ates the MOP?		
Additional Commer	ıts:		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 29 OF 40)

	ATIONDATE	<u>_ REVIEWER_</u>	
	REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
J. S	PARE PARTS	DAIA	ILEMIAICKS/TIVDIVGS
J.1	Have spare parts been stocked to achieve a particular level of availability?		
	What is the level?		
	How was it determined?		
J.2	Have there been instances of extended system downtime due to lack of spare parts?  If so, describe and outline any corrective action taken by the MMG.		
J.3	How are nonstocked parts obtained under routine and emergency conditions?		
Add	litional Comments:		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 30 OF 40)

OCATION	DATE	REVIEWER_	
	REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDING
J. SPARE PART	CS (Contd)		
J.4 What is averate to receipt for	age time from order of spare parts		
• Routine con	nditions?		
• Emergency	conditions?		
Is this satis	factory?		
	pards (received from vendors) tested by the MN re placed in the spare parts inventory?	ИG	
J.6 Is analysis be	ing done on parts utilization?		
	ilure rates been experienced on certain modu )? If so, identify them.	ıles	
Additional Comme	nts:		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 31 OF 40)

LOCA	TION	DATE	REVIEWER_	
	REVI	EW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
J. SF	ARE PARTS (Contd)			
J.8	What action has been take	en to resolve this situation?		
J.9	Does the MMG repair defe	ctive modules?		
J.10	What is the percentage of MMG to total modules repthree months?			
J.11	Are repaired parts tracked	for subsequent failure?		
J.12	Inspect several boards tha Look for quality of work a Is the repair quality accep	nd parts used in repair proc	ess.	
Addi	cional Comments:			

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 32 OF 40)

LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ DATE	_REVIEWER	
		YES/NO	·
	REVIEW ITEM	DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
J. SF	ARE PARTS (Contd)		
J.13	(s equipment Change Notice/Field Change Order (ECN/FCO) revision level verified and upgraded as part of the repair process?		
1	Does spare part inventory system track revision level?		
J.14	What is the average turnaround time on MMG parts repair	<b>r</b> ?	
ļ	How does this compare to vendor service?		
J.15	What is the MMG procedure for repairing modules t cannot repair in house?		
J.16	Is adequate training provided to craft repairing defective modules?		
Addi	onal Comments:		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 33 OF 40)

OCATION_	DATE R	EVIEWER_	
		YES/NO	
	REVIEW ITEM	DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
J. SPARE P	ARTS (Contd)		
Note:	The next question utilizes first cost of maintained conputer system hardware for calculation. If this data not available, the reviewer can use an average \$200,000 for each self-maintained system.	is	
	pare parts inventory investment exceed 10% of the fire computer hardware maintained?	st	
	nual expenditures for replacement and repaired span exceed 3% of inventory investment?	ге	
Additional Co	omments:		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 34 OF 40)

LOC.	ATION	DATE	RE	VIEWER_	
				YES/NO	DELCA DICC (DINIERY)
		REVIEW ITEM		DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
К. Т	ECHNICAL SU	PPORT			
K.1	How is technical	support provided to the MMG for			
		ns, e.g., by MSG, vendor.			
	ooto also prooton	m, o.g., o, 1/10 m, volutor.		-	
K 9	How is technical	support provided to the MMG			
11.2	for hardware pro				
	for hardware pro	oblems:			
T/ 0	TT				
N.3		chnical support escalation procedur	e		
	been established	!			
K.4		are trouble conditions escalated for			
		t by the MMG, e.g., number over p	ast		
	six months?				
K.5_	Is there a service	support agreement with the vendo	or?		
Addi	tional Comments:	:			
				•	

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 35 OF 40)

LOC.	ATIONDATERE	VIEWER_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
К. 1	ECHNICAL SUPPORT (Contd)		
K.6	How many times was the vendor called out under this agreement over the past twelve months?		
K.7	Has the vendor lived up to the provisions in the agreement with respect to:		
}	• Response time?		(
	• Continuous effort?		
	• Parts?		
İ	If not, explain.		
	Note: The following questions pertain to the operational relationship between the MMG and the MSG, and have a significant bearing on successful MMG performance.		
Addi	tional Comments:		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 36 OF 40)

LOCA	ATIONDATE	REVIEWER	
	REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDING
к. т	ECHNICAL SUPPORT (Contd)		,
K.8	Does the MSG serve as the escalation point for tech support and referral of trouble conditions to suppor organizations outside the company, e.g., vendor, OS	t	
K.9	Is hardware maintenance support provided by the M	MSG?	
K.10	Is software maintenance support provided by the M	SG?	,
K.11	Have MSG personnel been responsive when called?		
Addit	tional Comments:		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 37 OF 40)

LOCATION	DATE	REVIEWER	
	REVIEW ITEM	YES/NO DATA	REMARKS/FINDINGS
K. TECHNICA	L SUPPORT (Contd)		
K.12 Has MSG	been effective in clearing trouble condition	ns?	
	quent escalation been required? examples.		
Additional Comr	nents:		

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 38 OF 40)

LOCATION	DATE	REVIEWER	
ļ			
1			
			,
1			İ

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 39 OF 40)

LOCATION	DATE	REVIEWER	

OPERATIONAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (SHEET 40 OF 40)